RECEIVED front

COROTATION LAG OF SATURN'S MAGNETOSPHERE: 1588 178 15 A 8: 15 GLOBAL IONOSPHERIC CONDUCTIVITIES RE-VISITED

AIAA/TIS

Andrew F. Cheng
The John Hopkins University
Applied Physics Laboratory
Johns Hopkins Road
Laurel, Maryland 20707

714-171-218 273838

J. H. Waite, Jr.

Space Science Laboratory

NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center

Huntsville, Alabama 35812

Submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research

NOVEMBER 1987

(NASA-IM-101936) CORDIATION LAG OF SATURN'S MAGNETUSPHERE: GLOBAL IONOSPHERIC CONDUCTIVITIES DE-VISITED (NASA) 14 p

N90-70762

Unclas 00/91 0270556

ABSTRACT

Eviatar and Richardson (1986) calculated the Pedersen conductance of Saturn's ionosphere and obtained values far smaller than previous estimates. They concluded that the low Pedersen conductance explained the corotation lag in the magnetosphere. We have re-calculated the Pedersen conductance and confirmed the original estimates. The magnetosphere should corotate with the ionosphere. We suggest that the eddy diffusion coefficient in Saturn's upper atmosphere may be small enough to allow the ionosphere to be significantly de-spun relative to the interior.

INTRODUCTION

Eviatar and Richardson (1986) and Richardson (1986) have presented data from the Voyager 1 and 2 plasma science (PLS) experiments showing sub-corotation in the magnetosphere of Saturn. They attributed this corotation lag to a very low ionospheric Pedersen conductance, and concluded that the Pedersen conductance inferred from the corotation lag is consistent with that calculated from the neutral atmosphere model of Smith et al. (1983) and Voyager Radio Science data on the electron density profile (Tyler et al. 1981). However, their inferred ionospheric conductance for Saturn is four to six orders of magnitude smaller than previous estimates (e.g. Atreya et al. 1984).

We have re-calculated the Pedersen conductance of Saturn's ionosphere using the same neutral atmosphere model as was used by Eviatar and Richardson We confirm the earlier estimates that the ionospheric Pedersen (1986).conductance ranges from 0.3 to 17 mho near the terminator as a function of The source of the discrepancy appears to be that Eviatar and latitude. Richardson incorrectly included the ion density in the ion-neutral collision frequency term (their equations 9-11). Saturn's ionospheric Pedersen conductance is high enough to impose nearly perfect corotation between the ionosphere and the magnetosphere, contrary to the conclusion of Eviatar and Richardson (1986). There are, however, appreciable local time variations and possible localized latitudinal variations in ionospheric density as the result of water influx from the rings [Connerney and Waite 1984]. These ionospheric density changes from increased H⁺ loss processes, as well as high-latitude enhancements in ionospheric density that may be expected from auroral

processes, will create spatial variations in conductance which may affect magnetospheric transport processes. Nevertheless, nearly perfect corotation is expected in the regions accessed by Voyager. This result must now be reconciled with the Voyager PLS observations of sub-corotation. We consider whether the ionosphere of Saturn can be de-spun relative to its conducting interior and suggest that the frictional torque exerted by the neutral atmosphere may be inadequate to enforce corotation of the ionosphere.

COROTATION LAG AND IONOSPHERIC CONDUCTANCE

Hill (1979) presented a model for the corotation lag in Jupiter's magnetosphere, in which a spin-up torque is exerted by a current system closing in the ionosphere so as to maintain partial corotation in the magnetosphere despite mass loading and plasma outflow. The torque exerted by one element of the polar cap ionosphere between colatitudes θ and $\theta + \Delta\theta$ is (in Gaussian units)

Torque =
$$\Sigma_{\rm p} 2\pi R^4 \sin^3\theta \delta\Omega \frac{B_{\rm r}^2}{c^2} \Delta\theta$$
 (1)

where Σ_p is the height-integrated Pedersen conductivity, R the planetary radius, $\delta\Omega=\Omega_p-\Omega$ is the corotation lag (the difference between planetary and magnetospheric angular velocities), and B_r is the radial magnetic field component in the ionospheric polar cap. For a dipole field, we write $L\sin^2\theta=1$ with $\Delta\theta\simeq\Delta L/2L^{3/2}$ and $B_r\simeq2B_o$, where B_o is the surface equatorial field. We define M(L) as the rate of mass addition in grams s^{-1} within L, so that in

a steady state $\mathring{M}(L)$ is also the rate at which mass flows outward through L. Then the rate of angular momentum addition within $(L, L + \Delta L)$ is $\Delta L d / d L (\mathring{M} L^2 R^2 (\Omega_p - \delta \Omega))$ and is balanced by the torque exerted from the two polar cap ionospheric elements (Hill, 1979):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dL}} \left[L^{2} \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathsf{M}} (\Omega_{\mathbf{p}} - \delta \Omega) \right] = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{p}} 8\pi R^{2} \delta \Omega \ B_{\mathbf{o}}^{2}}{L^{3} c^{2}} \tag{2}$$

If there is outflow but no local mass loading, so $M = M_0$ is constant, then

$$0 = L^{5} \frac{d}{dL} (\delta\Omega) + \left(4L_{0}^{4} + 2L^{4}\right)\delta\Omega - 2L^{4}\Omega_{p}$$
 (3a)

$$L_{o} = \left(\frac{2\Sigma_{p}\pi R^{2}B_{o}^{2}}{\mathring{M}_{o}c^{2}}\right)^{1/4}$$
(3b)

Equation (3a) gives the qualitative behavior of the corotation lag $\delta\Omega$ in Jupiter's magnetosphere (Hill, 1979), and L $_{o}$ is the characteristic distance at which corotation breaks down (L $_{o}$ \simeq 23 for Jupiter). If L $_{o}$ is evaluated for Saturn, we find

$$L_o \text{ (Saturn)} = 56.4 \left(\frac{\Sigma_p}{1 \text{ mho}} \frac{10^3 \text{g s}^{-1}}{\text{M}_o} \right)^{1/4}$$
 (4)

For expected values of $^{\bullet}$ \simeq 10 3 g s⁻¹ at Saturn (Cheng et al. 1986, Eviatar and Richardson, 1986) and expected values of $^{\Sigma}$ p $^{\sim}$ 1 mho (see below), we find L $^{\circ}$ 56 which is far outside the dayside magnetopause at 18-24 R_s. This result

indicates that an ionospheric Pedersen conductance of only 10^{-2} mho would already suffice to enforce essentially perfect corotation out to L $\gtrsim 20$. This result is supported by Eviatar and Richardson (1986), who show that L₀ ≈ 9 would be required to match the observed Saturnian corotation lag; according to (4) this would require $\Sigma_{\rm D} < 6 \times 10^{-4}$ mho for $\mathring{\rm M} \simeq 10^3 {\rm g \ s}^{-1}$.

However, (3) and (4) neglect local mass loading. This is a good approximation for Jupiter, where most of the corotation lag is found outside the Io torus and $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ is nearly independent of L in the region of interest. However, at Saturn $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ increases steadily out to the magnetopause, where it reaches a value $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_0 \simeq 10^3 \mathrm{g \ s}^{-1}$. To estimate the effect of local mass loading, we can re-write (2) after some algebra in the equivalent form

$$\Omega = \frac{\Omega_{p}}{1 + \frac{\alpha L^{4} \stackrel{\wedge}{M}}{4} \frac{\Lambda}{L_{o}^{4} \stackrel{\wedge}{M}_{o}}}$$
 (5a)

$$\alpha = \frac{\mathrm{d} \, \ell \mathrm{n} \, \left(\stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{M}} \, \Omega \, L^2 \right)}{\mathrm{d} \, \ell \mathrm{n} \, L} \tag{5b}$$

The mass loading is smoothly distributed over a broad range in L, since it arises from ionization of neutral clouds maintained by sputtering from icy moons and escape from the atmospheres of Titan and Saturn (e.g., Cheng et al. 1986). Hence α must be of the order of unity (recalling that Ω decreases with L). However, when $\mathring{\mathbb{M}}_0 = 10^3$ g s⁻¹, then $L_0 \simeq 56$ and $L^4 << 4$ L_0^4 . Since $\mathring{\mathbb{M}} < \mathring{\mathbb{M}}_0$, the differential equation requires Ω to be nearly equal to Ω_p regardless of the detailed form of $\mathring{\mathbb{M}}(L)$.

We conclude that for small L compared to L_o , the corotation lag is generally small, more or less independent of how the mass loading is spatially distributed. If $\Sigma_p \sim 1$ mho and $\tilde{M} \sim 10^3 {\rm g \ s}^{-1}$ at Saturn, then corotation should be well enforced throughout the core magnetosphere.

Pedersen Conductance

We have completed new calculations of the Saturn ionospheric conductivity. The results are shown in Table 1. The values are obtained using the Smith et al. (1983) atmosphere and digitized ionospheric densities from the ionospheric profiles of Lindal et al. (1985). These ionospheric densities are measured at various latitudes near the terminator as indicated in the table. Therefore to estimate changes in conductance due to local time variations, we have multiplied the Lindal et al. terminator profile by 16 or 0.06 to represent noon and midnight, respectively. The factor was taken from the variation in the peak electron density inferred by Kaiser et al. (1984) from SED cutoff frequencies. The limitation of this approach is that we do not expect all altitudes to change by the same factor, but if the peak factor is correct then the gross variations in conductance as a function of local time should be properly represented by such an approach. ionospheric composition is a mixture of H^+ , H_3^+ , and heavy water ions as inferred from model calculations. However, other choices in composition did not significantly affect the results. In fact, the results did not change by over a factor of two to three when a different atmospheric model [Festou and Atreya, 1982] was used or when the existence of low lying ionospheric layers was ignored.

Even in the most extreme case shown in Table 1 (midnight, latitude 1 degree south) the integrated Pedersen conductivity of 1.8×10^{-2} mho was almost two orders of magnitude higher than those reported by Eviatar and Richardson [1986]. Indeed the lowest value calculated on the dayside exceeds 0.3 mhos and general daytime values are on the order of 1 to 10 mhos whereas There are localized night values generally vary from 0.1 to 1 mho. mid-latitude bands near -37° and +42° where ionospheric densities decreased to 1 to 2% of the equatorial electron density at the same local time [Kaiser et al., 1984]. These latitudes are connected magnetically to the inner edge of Saturn's B ring where Northrop and Connerney (1987) have theoretically calculated an increased ring erosion generated influx of water to the atmosphere that could significantly increase ionospheric loss processes and reduce ionospheric densities in this region. Here the values of the conductivity might conceivably fall to 10^{-4} mho on the nightside but should remain above 10^{-2} mho on the dayside. Such localized latitudinal decreases might also be possible at higher latitudes due to the influx of charged water ions from satellite erosion processes, but the decrease in conductance should be negligible since the expected water ion influx should be significantly less than from the rings. In no case do we expect the dayside Pedersen conductance to fall below 10^{-2} mho. In fact at higher latitudes near the auroral zone (78° - 81°) we expect the Pedersen conductance to exceed 10 mhos with a weakened local time variation. The conductance may peak at values ~50 mho between latitudes 78° and 81° and then decrease to $\sim 10^{-2}$ mho at the poles. With the high conductance near the auroral zones and the low conductance in the mid-latitude bands mapping to Saturn's B ring, the ionospheric Pedersen conductance is highly variable, affecting transport processes in the magnetosphere.

We emphasize that very low Σ_p values less than 10^{-2} mho are expected only at latitudes that map to Saturn's main ring system (L \leq 2). The corotation lag reported by Eviatar and Richardson (1986) applies only to $5 \leq L \leq 15$, for which the calculated Σ_p is in all cases $\geq 10^{-2}$ mho. As was noted above, such a high Σ_p value should enforce corotation throughout the observed region. A small Σ_p near L=2 would be of no consequence for corotation lags at L > 5. We conclude that Saturn's ionospheric Pedersen conductance is more than adequately high to enforce corotation of the magnetosphere with the ionosphere.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The observations of sub-corotation in the magnetosphere (Richardson, 1986) must now be reconciled with the high Pedersen conductance of Saturn's ionosphere. One possibility for resolving this apparent conflict is that Saturn's ionosphere may be de-spun relative to the conducting interior of the planet. Eviatar and Richardson (1986) previously considered the atmospheric torque from upward transport of angular momentum and concluded that it was adequate to maintain corotation of the ionosphere. We now re-examine the atmospheric torque and conclude that the magnetosphere can indeed de-spin the ionosphere.

The upward angular momentum flux between colatitudes θ_1 and θ_2 which gives the atmospheric torque on the ionosphere is

$$T_{atm} = 2\pi R^4 D \frac{d(\rho\Omega)}{dz} \left(\frac{\cos^3 \theta}{3} - \cos \theta \right) \Big|_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2}$$
 (6)

correcting a minor error in eq. (5) of Eviatar and Richardson (1986). Here ρ is the neutral density and D is the eddy diffusion coefficient. Eviatar and Richardson adopt D = 1.1 x 10^8 cm² s⁻¹ and approximate $d(\rho\Omega)/dz$ by the value $\rho_{1100}\Omega_p/1400$ km, where ρ_{1100} is the density at 1100 km altitude and Ω_p is Saturn's rotation angular velocity, as above. They then estimate that T_{atm} between L = 8 and L = 25 exceeds the mass loading torque by about a factor of six.

This procedure, however, may overestimate the atmospheric torque. The Smith et al. (1983) neutral atmosphere model, used by Eviatar and Richardson and by us to calculate $\Sigma_{\rm p}$, actually assumed D = 5 x 10 6 cm 2 s $^{-1}$. For consistency, this smaller value of D should probably be used to estimate $T_{\rm atm}$, with the result that $T_{\rm atm}$ would be reduced by a factor of 20 and would no longer exceed the required mass loading torque. Smith et al. (1983) investigated several atmosphere models with various values of D, and they concluded that models with D as large as 10^8 cm 2 s $^{-1}$ were "definitely inconsistent" with the UV data.

Given the large uncertainty in D, it is not clear whether the frictional torque $T_{\rm atm}$ does or does not exceed the mass loading torque. However, it seems safe to conclude that the two torques are comparable, so the ionosphere can be significantly de-spun relative to the interior. Indeed the observed sub-corotation in the magnetosphere and the high calculated $\Sigma_{\rm p}$ provide a strong argument in favor of a low enough D to permit significant slippage of the ionosphere relative to the interior.

Finally, another possibly important effect has not yet been considered, namely, that thermospheric wind systems may induce significant magnetospheric convection and may cause large deviations from corotation, both in flow velocity and magnitude. Further work is needed to investigate this issue.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work of AFC is supported in part by NASA under Task I of contract NOO039-87-C-5301 between The Johns Hopkins University and the Department of the Navy. The work of JHW is supported in part by NASA grants from the Solar and Space Physics Division and the Division of Planetary Exploration. JHW also acknowledges computing support from Burt Shah, a graduate student at The University of Alabama in Huntsville.

Table 1
Height-Integrated Pedersen Conductivities (mhos)

Latitude

Local Time	<u>1°S</u>	<u>31°S</u>	<u>73°S</u>	<u>36°N</u>
terminator	0.31	0.43	17	14
noon	4.9	6.8	260	220
midnight	0.018	0.25	0.96	0.79

REFERENCES

- Atreya, S. K., J. H. Waite, Jr., T. M. Donohue, A. F. Nagy, and J. C. McConnell, Theory, measurements, and models of the upper atmosphere and ionosphere of Saturn, in <u>Saturn</u>, eds. T. Gehrels and M. Matthews, Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson, p. 239, 1984.
- Cheng, A. F., P. K. Haff, R. E. Johnson, and L. J. Lanzerotti, Interactions of planetary magnetospheres with icy satellite surfaces, in <u>Satellites</u>, eds. J. A. Burns and M. Matthews, Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson, p. 403, 1986.
- Connerney, J., and J. H. Waite, Jr., New model of Saturn's ionosphere with an influx of water from the rings, <u>Nature</u>, <u>312</u>, 136, 1984.
- Eviatar, A. and J. Richardson, Corotation of the Kronian magnetosphere, <u>J.</u>

 <u>Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>91</u>, 3299, 1986.
- Festou, M., and S. K. Atreya, Voyager ultraviolet stellar occultation measurements of the composition and thermal profiles of the Saturnian upper atmosphere, <u>Geophys. Res. Letts.</u>, <u>9</u>, 1147, 1982.
- Hill, T. W., Inertial limit on corotation, J.Geophys. Res., 84, 6554, 1979.
- Kaiser, M. L., M. D. Desch, and J. Connerney, Saturn's ionosphere: Inferred electron densities, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>89</u>, 2371, 1984.
- Lindal, G. F., D. Sweetnam, and V. Eshleman, The atmosphere of Saturn: An analysis of the Voyager radio occultation measurements, <u>Astron. J.</u>, <u>90</u>, 1136, 1985.
- Northrop, T. G. and J. E. P. Connerney, A micrometeorite erosion model and the age of Saturn's rings, in press <u>Icarus</u>, January, 1987.

- Richardson, J. D., Thermal ions at Saturn: plasma parameters and implications, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 1381, 1986.
- Smith, G. R., J. McConnell, D. Shemansky, J. Holberg, A. L. Broadfoot, and B. R. Sandel, Saturn's upper atmosphere from the Voyager 2 EUV solar and stellar occultation, J. Geophys. Res., 88, 8667, 1983.
- Tyler, G. L., V. Eshleman, J. D. Anderson, G. Levy, G. Lindal, G. Wood, and T. Croft, Radio science investigations of the Saturn system with Voyager 1: Preliminary results, <u>Science</u>, <u>212</u>, 201, 1981.